



Indiana Family and Social Services Administration  
Division of Mental Health and Addiction

# INDIANA Penetration Rate Report

(Percentage of the Eligible Population that is Served by Indiana DMHA)

by Region and County, State Fiscal Year **2004**

Community Services Data System

*Persons Served have Incomes at or below 200% of the Federal Poverty Level*

July 1, **2003** to June 30, **2004**

Report Generated **06/20/2005 09:38:00**

##	County	Seriously Mentally Ill Adults (SMI)	Seriously Emotionally Disturbed Children (SED)	Co-occurring Disorder (Adults, Serious Mental Illness with Chronic Addiction)	Chronic Addiction (no Co-Occurring)			Total Consumers Served
					Chronically Addicted Adults	Chronically Addicted Women with Children or Pregnant	TOTAL CHRONIC ADDICTION	
Statewide								
	INDIANA	68.2%	83.1%	47.3%	39.7%	13.1%	38.0%	53.6%
Central Region								
6	Boone	113.4%	92.8%	79.4%	57.3%	11.2%	52.1%	75.6%
29	Hamilton	109.0%	79.6%	55.4%	69.8%	10.6%	57.9%	74.8%
30	Hancock	102.0%	118.1%	73.0%	48.1%	26.6%	49.0%	74.8%
32	Hendricks	96.2%	78.6%	82.0%	63.7%	24.4%	60.6%	71.9%
41	Johnson	75.9%	112.9%	60.6%	43.6%	17.9%	42.3%	63.1%
49	Marion	45.6%	84.8%	40.2%	45.1%	19.4%	44.2%	48.1%
55	Morgan	72.9%	35.0%	7.0%	30.9%	13.8%	26.9%	41.0%
73	Shelby	104.5%	77.4%	54.3%	32.2%	17.6%	33.4%	61.3%
Total for Region		53.6%	84.5%	42.8%	46.3%	18.8%	38.7%	51.7%
East Region								
5	Blackford	91.6%	103.1%	111.8%	90.4%	18.4%	81.6%	84.7%
18	Delaware	78.3%	42.9%	59.6%	33.9%	16.9%	34.9%	47.7%
21	Fayette	144.9%	114.3%	128.6%	34.8%	9.2%	40.2%	82.9%
27	Grant	89.6%	61.2%	113.4%	28.7%	5.1%	33.2%	53.3%
33	Henry	139.8%	98.6%	96.7%	46.7%	28.5%	51.2%	84.0%
38	Jay	114.6%	81.8%	66.7%	47.6%	13.4%	45.0%	70.7%
48	Madison	95.5%	106.0%	73.5%	59.5%	28.0%	58.3%	74.7%
68	Randolph	80.8%	93.0%	41.0%	22.9%	6.6%	22.6%	51.4%
70	Rush	118.1%	107.1%	65.0%	106.3%	28.1%	91.6%	98.5%
81	Union	79.3%	45.7%	36.4%	28.8%	0.0%	25.3%	43.6%
89	Wayne	100.1%	109.0%	77.7%	41.1%	8.2%	39.6%	67.8%
Total for Region		96.1%	82.8%	78.5%	43.2%	16.4%	36.2%	63.8%
North Central Region								
9	Cass	124.7%	100.0%	100.0%	42.5%	0.0%	40.7%	74.6%
20	Elkhart	88.2%	70.8%	87.8%	30.1%	15.1%	34.2%	55.8%
25	Fulton	85.8%	141.2%	60.7%	80.6%	2.7%	66.1%	81.8%
34	Howard	64.4%	64.3%	60.0%	40.8%	24.8%	42.1%	50.9%
43	Kosciusko	103.8%	81.9%	89.0%	38.1%	1.0%	36.9%	63.4%
46	La Porte	77.5%	104.3%	56.9%	30.8%	15.4%	32.3%	56.5%
50	Marshall	56.9%	68.5%	44.0%	21.6%	2.3%	20.9%	39.5%

52	Miami	115.0%	166.7%	118.8%	58.0%	2.2%	54.6%	90.0%
71	St. Joseph	20.0%	78.6%	13.9%	32.4%	6.7%	27.8%	30.2%
80	Tipton	48.9%	24.0%	28.6%	19.3%	17.9%	21.6%	29.4%
85	Wabash	64.2%	159.2%	95.0%	44.5%	0.9%	42.1%	65.8%
<b>Total for Region</b>		<b>54.9%</b>	<b>86.1%</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>48.0%</b>
<b>Northeast Region</b>								
1	Adams	70.7%	41.5%	29.3%	27.1%	13.8%	26.3%	41.2%
2	Allen	49.4%	58.3%	27.0%	41.8%	12.1%	37.9%	43.4%
17	De Kalb	171.5%	125.2%	176.5%	44.9%	22.9%	55.7%	100.7%
35	Huntington	75.9%	108.9%	31.6%	54.3%	2.8%	43.8%	62.7%
44	Lagrange	74.6%	57.7%	63.2%	35.0%	7.5%	33.7%	49.1%
57	Noble	127.9%	89.8%	127.7%	56.5%	8.3%	55.7%	81.1%
76	Steuben	112.2%	122.7%	121.6%	29.5%	10.6%	35.7%	71.8%
90	Wells	87.0%	66.7%	33.3%	55.9%	11.9%	47.5%	60.6%
92	Whitley	70.8%	119.4%	44.0%	22.0%	3.0%	21.3%	52.4%
<b>Total for Region</b>		<b>64.5%</b>	<b>70.1%</b>	<b>43.1%</b>	<b>41.5%</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>33.5%</b>	<b>51.3%</b>
<b>Northwest Region</b>								
37	Jasper	95.4%	78.3%	54.8%	31.9%	8.4%	31.1%	57.0%
45	Lake	43.0%	65.0%	18.9%	31.8%	12.3%	29.4%	38.4%
56	Newton	55.4%	63.5%	31.3%	14.4%	6.3%	15.0%	34.8%
64	Porter	112.5%	76.9%	28.4%	34.6%	12.0%	31.1%	62.0%
66	Pulaski	73.6%	80.6%	64.7%	49.7%	2.0%	43.2%	57.1%
75	Starke	85.0%	73.6%	25.7%	31.3%	11.5%	28.6%	52.6%
<b>Total for Region</b>		<b>51.6%</b>	<b>67.4%</b>	<b>21.2%</b>	<b>32.2%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>26.7%</b>	<b>42.1%</b>
<b>Southeast Region</b>								
3	Bartholomew	119.1%	117.1%	100.0%	63.5%	23.6%	62.3%	86.7%
7	Brown	108.2%	73.6%	53.3%	29.0%	6.5%	27.6%	58.9%
10	Clark	103.6%	77.6%	200.8%	42.5%	8.9%	52.2%	69.8%
13	Crawford	98.5%	176.0%	78.9%	27.5%	10.7%	30.1%	74.8%
15	Dearborn	171.6%	103.7%	84.6%	55.4%	3.5%	49.3%	93.6%
16	Decatur	119.2%	83.0%	44.4%	31.6%	13.5%	31.1%	65.4%
22	Floyd	72.0%	47.1%	169.1%	32.3%	7.6%	41.5%	49.9%
24	Franklin	99.3%	88.2%	42.9%	19.4%	4.8%	19.3%	55.3%
31	Harrison	73.8%	59.0%	62.2%	32.2%	5.7%	30.9%	47.3%
36	Jackson	113.1%	123.9%	77.1%	20.6%	6.8%	24.4%	67.5%
39	Jefferson	133.1%	106.5%	124.4%	43.5%	8.7%	45.9%	79.9%
40	Jennings	117.3%	91.8%	154.3%	36.1%	14.7%	44.7%	73.1%
47	Lawrence	37.2%	25.1%	3.4%	25.5%	0.0%	19.0%	24.7%
58	Ohio	113.2%	55.0%	150.0%	50.0%	6.7%	49.1%	68.9%
59	Orange	136.9%	114.4%	51.6%	19.0%	4.4%	19.9%	71.0%
69	Ripley	124.5%	100.0%	34.5%	28.0%	2.6%	24.8%	67.6%
72	Scott	144.1%	93.6%	175.7%	38.8%	1.9%	45.5%	81.8%
78	Switzerland	89.1%	94.7%	60.0%	38.8%	2.4%	35.2%	60.0%
88	Washington	81.8%	60.5%	110.5%	17.8%	5.5%	24.8%	47.0%
<b>Total for Region</b>		<b>104.9%</b>	<b>85.2%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>65.3%</b>
<b>Southwest Region</b>								
14	Daviess	86.1%	76.9%	64.6%	26.7%	16.5%	30.1%	54.4%
19	Dubois	152.8%	111.8%	88.9%	45.6%	12.5%	45.7%	87.8%
26	Gibson	93.9%	67.9%	19.4%	59.0%	21.2%	51.0%	64.7%
28	Greene	90.3%	114.7%	4.1%	25.5%	2.2%	19.8%	56.3%
42	Knox	118.5%	98.7%	53.3%	38.2%	25.4%	39.9%	70.5%

51	Martin	114.3%	81.6%	92.3%	54.3%	16.7%	53.2%	74.5%
62	Perry	88.9%	91.4%	48.0%	51.2%	14.1%	46.9%	64.4%
63	Pike	104.5%	90.9%	50.0%	35.7%	25.0%	37.6%	65.2%
65	Posey	124.8%	133.7%	38.5%	68.8%	16.2%	58.8%	89.1%
74	Spencer	69.8%	102.6%	68.4%	55.4%	3.7%	48.0%	62.3%
82	Vanderburgh	109.6%	93.4%	63.3%	57.9%	28.1%	55.8%	75.7%
87	Warrick	121.7%	164.4%	35.7%	65.0%	12.6%	54.0%	91.4%
<b>Total for Region</b>		<b>107.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>53.4%</b>	<b>50.1%</b>	<b>21.0%</b>	<b>42.6%</b>	<b>72.3%</b>
<b>West Region</b>								
4	Benton	94.9%	120.0%	50.0%	44.8%	4.2%	40.7%	68.5%
8	Carroll	99.2%	119.2%	52.6%	41.3%	5.5%	36.6%	68.2%
11	Clay	130.7%	157.7%	26.5%	53.4%	9.6%	44.5%	88.2%
12	Clinton	66.5%	90.0%	8.1%	15.0%	5.8%	13.3%	42.9%
23	Fountain	97.9%	124.4%	23.8%	36.8%	3.5%	30.5%	65.7%
53	Monroe	39.7%	40.0%	0.4%	28.5%	0.3%	21.6%	28.0%
54	Montgomery	173.4%	204.5%	100.0%	94.0%	28.8%	86.8%	129.4%
60	Owen	118.8%	158.6%	38.7%	29.0%	7.8%	27.0%	76.9%
61	Parke	173.5%	100.0%	27.6%	65.8%	1.2%	50.2%	94.1%
67	Putnam	85.3%	103.3%	34.8%	31.3%	5.7%	28.3%	55.6%
77	Sullivan	71.4%	120.3%	0.0%	29.1%	5.2%	23.6%	51.3%
79	Tippecanoe	68.8%	78.6%	30.0%	19.7%	2.4%	18.3%	39.9%
83	Vermillion	76.8%	116.9%	10.0%	46.8%	7.3%	37.6%	60.9%
84	Vigo	67.2%	69.2%	33.5%	41.0%	10.9%	36.6%	49.1%
86	Warren	65.5%	96.9%	16.7%	22.1%	4.0%	19.4%	44.6%
91	White	158.7%	153.4%	27.6%	49.0%	10.5%	41.6%	94.5%
<b>Total for Region</b>		<b>76.8%</b>	<b>93.2%</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>33.5%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>

**Source:**

1. U.S. Census: [www.census.gov/](http://www.census.gov/)
2. *Actuarial Needs Assessment for FY 99 Provider Contracts: Final Report*, April 13, 1998, William M. Mercer, Inc.
3. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. *Estimation Methodology for Adults with Serious Mental Illness*. Federal Register, June 24, 1999. Volume 64, Number 121, 33890 - 33897.
4. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. *Estimation Methodology for Children with a Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED)*. Federal Register, October 6, 1997. Volume 62, Number 193, 52139 - 52145.
5. Wright, D. (2004). *State Estimates of Substance Use from the 2002 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (DMHA Publication No. SMA 04-3907, NSDUH Series H-23)*. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Office of Applied Studies.
6. Epstein, J., Barker, P., Vorburger, M., & Murtha, C. (2004). Serious mental illness and its co-occurrence with substance use disorders, 2002 (DDHS Publication No. SMHA 04-3905, Analytic Series A-24). Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Office of Applied Studies.